

MARKING CRITERIA and STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
From what has been provided, the supplied code shows a good understanding of using js/jquery for a number of purposes. Code is well structured and commented appropriately. Lack of complexity on jquery stops a higher mark	15	12
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
Although you have a discussion that related to the development path, the rubric does hint on the approach made when developing - this is the missing content. What you have provided is a fair reflection on what was developed.	15	10
4. Practical Application Skills		
Generally the site is a simple 3 page setup and demonstrates the required techniques that were asked for. Data validation is fine and demonstrates how you have modularised the validation checks. Only point of note are the checks for email (research is needed on this), but nice checks on the discord validation. JQuery elements via a carosel is fine, but you could have provided more functionality (would have enabled a higher mark). Finally, the in-build target game - nice feature and written in js	70	54
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		76
	Late Submission ?		
	1st/2nd/3rd attempt?	1st	